1	David R. Markham (SBN 71814) dmarkham@markham-law.com		
2	Maggie Realin (SBN 263639) mrealin@markham-law.com	FILED California	
3	Lisa Brevard (SBN 323391) lbrevard@markham-law.com	Superior Court of Angeles County of Los Angeles	
4	THE MARKHAM LAW FIRM 888 Prospect St., Suite 200	MOV 09 2023	
5	La Jolla, California 92037 Tel.: 619.399.3995 / Fax: 619.615.2067	Executive Officer/Clerk of Court	
6	Walter L. Haines (SBN 071075)	By: R. Duarte, Deputy	
7	walter@whaines.com UNITED EMPLOYEES LAW GROUP		
8	5500 Bolsa Avenue, Suite 203 Huntington Beach, California 92649 Tel.: 310.234.5678 / Fax: 310.652.2242		
9	10000 / Tax. 310.032.2242		
10	List of counsel continued on next page.		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES – CENTRAL DISTRICT		
13	AL DUDDO DODO		
14	ALDIBERT ESTEBAN, an individual, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 20STCV47361	
15	,	Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Mel Red Recana, Dept. 45	
16	Plaintiff,	AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER	
17	v.	GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS	
18 19	AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT	
20			
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
	,		
27			
28			
	AMENDED [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT		
l	1		

1	Joseph Lavi, Esq. (SBN 209776). Email: jlavi@lelawfirm.com
2	Vincent C. Granberry, Esq. (SBN 276483) Email: vgranberry@lelawfirm.com LAVI & EBRAHIMIAN, LLP
3	8889 W. Olympic Blvd., Suite 200
4	Beverly Hills, California 90211 Telephone: (310) 432-0000 Facsimile: (310) 432-0001
5	Matthew R Bainer, Esq. (SBN 220972)
6	THE BAINER LAW FIRM 1901 Harrison St., Suite 1100
7	Oakland. California 94612 Telephone: (510) 922-1802
8	Facsimile: (510) 844-7701 Email: mbainer@bainerlawfirm.com
9	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Aldibert Esteban, Maisha Sykes, Deborah Berens, and Linda Hinkley
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	*
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

 Having considered the proposed settlement agreement and class notice; the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement filed by Plaintiffs; and the supplemental briefing in support thereof and good cause appearing, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

The Court grants preliminary approval of the settlement and finds the terms to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at the final Fairness Hearing. For purposes of the settlement, the Court finds that the proposed settlement class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-defined community of interest among the Class in questions of law and fact. Therefore, for settlement purposes only, the Court grants conditional certification of the following "Settling Class Members" defined as: All individuals employed by American as California-based Flight Attendants during the period from January 18, 2015, until September 30, 2023.

- 1. For purposes of the settlement, the Court further designates named Plaintiffs Aldibert Esteban, Deborah Berens, Linda Hinkley, Maisha Sykes as Class Representatives, and Joseph Lavi and Vincent C. Granberry of Lavi & Ebrahimian LLP; Matthew Bainer of the Bainer Law Firm; David Marham, Maggie Realin, and Lisa Brevard of the Markham Law Firm; and Walter Haines of United Employees Law Group as Class Counsel.
 - 2. The Court confirms CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 3. Within three (3) court days of this Order, Plaintiffs shall file their consolidated Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") attached as <u>Exhibit 3</u> to the Declaration of Vincent Granberry filed in support of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary approval. Defendant will be deemed to have generally denied the allegations of the SAC without the need to file and serve an Answer thereto. Should, for whatever reason, the settlement set forth in this Settlement Agreement not become final, the SAC shall be deemed stricken without further order of the Court.
- 4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the Settlement Class is scheduled in Department 45 of this Court, located at 111 North Hill Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, on February 26, 2024 at 830 a.m. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the final approval hearing and all dates provided for in this Order without further notice to Class

Members and retains jurisdiction to consider all further applications arising out of or connected with the proposed Settlement.

- 5. At the final fairness hearing, the Court will consider: (a) whether the settlement should be approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate for the class; (b) whether a judgment granting approval of the settlement should be entered; and (c) whether Plaintiffs' application for an award of attorneys' fees, reimbursement of litigation expenses, and class representative enhancement should be granted.
- 6. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Amended Class Notice attached to the Declaration of Vincent C. Granberry at Exhibit 3 and filed concurrently with Plaintiffs' Supplemental Breifing in support of Motion for Preliminary Approval filed with this Court on November 2, 2023.
- 7. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice by first class mail to the members of the Class on or before the deadline to mail the notice packet pursuant to the Settlement Agreement.
- 8. The Court finds that the form of notice to the Class Members regarding the pendency of the action and of this settlement, and the methods of giving notice to members of the Class Members to constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Class. The notice complies fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.
- 9. The Court further approves the procedures for Class Members to participate in, opt out of, or object to the Settlement, as set forth in the settlement agreement and class notice. The procedures and requirements for filing objections in connection with the fairness hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Class Member's objection to the Settlement Agreement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Class Members.
- 10. Pending the Fairness Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the settlement agreement and this Order, are stayed. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final approval, this Court hereby enjoins Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceedings (including filing claims with the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor

1

28